

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA

ABSTRACT

Public Services – Director, Insurance Medical Services – Establishment – Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar, presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad – Certain irregularities committed in purchase of medicines - Departmental proceedings under Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 - Articles of Charges - Issued.

LABOUR EMPLOYMENT TRAINING & FACTORIES (Emp-Vig) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.151

Dated: 09.04.2019

Read

From the Director General, Vigilance and Enforcement and Ex-officio Principal Secretary to Government, G.A. (V&E) Department, Vigilance Report No.5 (C.No.1190/V&E/D1/2018, Dt.01.02.2019.

* * *

ORDER:

It is proposed to hold an inquiry against Smt. K. Nagalaxmi presently working as Pharmacist, Grade-II at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad who while working as Pharmacist, Grade-II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad has committed certain irregularities in purchase and supply of medicines to ESI Hospitals and Dispensaries etc., in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 adopted by Telangana State.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the inquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of articles of charges (Annexure-I). A statement of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in support of Article of Charges, a list of documents by which, and a list of witnesses by whom, the articles of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure – II to IV).

3. Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, Pharmacist, the then Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar and presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad is directed to submit written statement of her defence and to state whether she desires to be heard in person within ten (10) working days from the date of receipt of this Order.

4. Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, Pharmacist, the then Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar and presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad is informed that an inquiry will be held only in respect of those articles of charge as are not admitted. She should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar and presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad is further informed that if she does not submit her written statement of defence on or before the date specified in para 3 above, further action will be processed based on the material available.

6. Attention of Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar and presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad is invited to Rule 24 of the A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, under which no Government servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further her interest in respect of matters pertaining to her service under the Government. If any representation is received on her behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt with these proceedings it will be presumed that she is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at her instance and action will be taken against her for violation of rule 24 of the A.P. Civil Service (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

Contd..P.2

7. The receipt of this G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

Dr. SHASHANK GOEL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, Pharmacist, Grade-II SDDU, Sanathnagar, presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad through the Director, Insurance Medical Services, Telangana, Hyderabad.

Encls: Annexures I to IV.

The Director, Insurance Medical Services, Telangana, Hyderabad (with a direction to serve the AoC on the individual under proper acknowledgement and return the same to the Government)

Copy to:-

The Secretary, Telangana Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad.

The Director General, (V&E) (FAC) & Ex-Officio Principal Secretary to Government, General Administration (V&E) Department, B.R.K.R. Bhavan, Hyderabad.

SF/SC

//FORWARDED:: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE – I

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST Smt. K. NAGALAXMI, PHARMACIST, SDDU, SANATHNAGAR, PRESENTLY WORKING AT ESI HOSPITAL, NACHARAM, HYDERABAD.

CHARGE - I

That Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad while working as Pharmacist, Grade-II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad failed to guide her superior officers in implementing proper procedure laid down by Government and ESI Corporation and eligibility criteria in selection of firms for procurement and supply of medicines to the ESI Hospitals and Dispensaries etc., in Telangana for the year 2016-17, 2017-18, etc in violating of G.O.Ms.No. 51, Labour, Employment, Training and Factories (IMS) Department, Dt.09.04.2012 and ESI Guidelines for procurement of drugs and dressing under ESIC rate contract dt.15.4.2016 and 3.5.2018, GFR-2005 & 2017, G.O.Ms.No.489, Finance (TFR-I) Department, Dt.08.12.2008 thereby exhibiting favoritism to certain firms in regard to procurement of medicines at excess rates and causing huge loss to Government Ex-chequer.

Thus, Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade-II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad by her above mentioned acts has committed grave irregularities and exhibited lack of integrity, devotion to duty, conduct unbecoming of a Government Servant and thereby contravened the Rule 3(1) & (2) of the A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 adopted by Telangana State.

CHARGE – II

Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade- II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad has not followed transparency, competition, fairness, elimination of arbitraries in the procurement process of drugs etc. she has not scrutinized the tenders and accepted quotations from the persons belong to a single family. The indents were splitted within the limit of Rs.5.0 lakhs violating the G.O.Ms.No.489, Finance (TFR-I) Department, Dt.8.12.2008 with the intention of favoring certain firms in supplying medicines on huge rates thereby causing huge loss to Government Ex-chequer and exhibiting favoritism to certain firms in regard to procurement of medicines.

Thus, Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade-II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad by her above mentioned acts has committed grave irregularities and exhibited lack of integrity, devotion to duty, conduct unbecoming of a Government Servant and thereby contravened the Rule 3(1) & (2) of the A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 adopted by Telangana State.

Dr. SHASHANK GOEL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE –II

STATEMENT OF IMPUTATION OF MISCONDUCT IN SUPPORT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST Smt. K. NAGALAXMI, PHARMACIST, SDDU, SANATHNAGAR, PRESENTLY WORKING AT ESI HOSPITAL, NACHARAM, HYDERABAD.

That Smt. K. Nagalaxmi, the then Pharmacist, Grade-II, SDDU Sanathanagar, Hyderabad presently working at ESI Hospital, Nacharam, Hyderabad has failed to guide the Director, Insurance Medical Services, Telangana, Hyderabad to follow proper procedure laid down by Government and ESI Corporation and eligibility criteria selection of firms for supply of medicines to the ESI Hospitals and Dispensaries in Telangana for the year 2016-17, 2017-18, etc in violating of G.O.Ms.No.51, Labour, Employment, Training and Factories (IMS) Department, Dt.09.04.2012, GFR-2005 & 2017, G.O.Ms.No.489, Finance (TFR-I) Department, Dt.08.12.2008.

As a result no committee was formed by the Director, Insurance Medical Services (DIMS) for analyzing the requirement of drugs and medicines in the beginning of the year. The indents were received from the dispensaries to Joint Directors and the same were sent to the Director, Insurance Medical Services by Joint Director without correct analysis of the actual requirements. No drug procurement committee was formed by the DIMS for analysis of requirement of medicines to be purchased for the year 2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19 upto 27.10.2018. Drug requirement list was prepared by the Pharmacist, In-charge AD Stores and approval by the Director, Insurance Medical Services.

As a result indent copies were directly handed over to the representatives, some indents were sent through ordinary post as informed by the pharmacist & Assistant Director. No Acknowledgements were obtained. The identity of the person who has taken the indent copy could not be established as only signature was taken in outward register.

On verification of the outward register, it is established that the receiver signature columns were kept unfilled without any remarks as such it can be understood that the indent copies were not dispatched deliberately.

No indents were given to the L2 & L3 firms on non-supply of medicines by L1 firm.

The medicines which were not supplied by the Rate Contract Firms and the medicines which were not included in the RC list were locally purchased without forming any Local Purchase Committee by the Heads of the Department, which is mandatory as per GFR 2005/2017. "Local purchase committee constituting of three members of an appropriate level should be formed by the head of the department which should survey the market to ascertain the reasonableness of rate, quality and specifications and identify the appropriate supplier. Before recommending placement of the purchase order, the members of the committee will jointly record a certificate as under....

"Certified that we_____, members of the purchase committee are jointly and individually satisfied that the goods recommended for purchase are of the requisite specifications and quality, priced at the prevailing market rate and the supplier. No such certificate is obtained by the officials.

As a result Tender Scrutiny Committee was not created by the DIMS to identify the bidders through pre-bid process. The officials have failed to identify the eligible firms as a result the quotations were accepted from two to three firms which belong to single family with minor variation in prices thus the very purpose of the tender was defeated. (Ex. (1) M/s Lakshmi Pharma, Sri Sai balaji

Contd....P.2

Enterprises, Abhiramee Medicals) & Ex.2) Teja Pharma, Vishal Enterprises, Vaishnavi Enterprises etc.,). Knowingly or unknowingly the officials have accepted the quotations from the firms which belong to single family. No steps were taken to create competition between the firms for reducing the prices.

As a result the basic exercise of registration of suppliers was not done at DIMS. No wide publicity was given to attract suppliers. No efforts were made to identify a higher number of approved suppliers to obtain more responsive bids on competitive basis. No contract agreements were made with the suppliers violating the G.O.Ms.No.51, dt.09.04.2012 of Labour, Employment, Training & Factories (IMS) Department.

As a result only list of few suppliers who approached the DIMS was maintained at Directorate level and the information regarding the indents were passed to these suppliers over phone/ displayed on notice board at Directorate without giving wide publicity. The Vigilance and enforcement officials have visited the DIMS office at Musheerabad and found that no requirement / indents were displayed on the notice board.

The list of approved suppliers for the subject matter of procurement was not exhibited on the websites of e-procurement portal. It can be understood that the officials have maintained secrecy in passing the tender information regarding indents to all the suppliers and favored few suppliers for different reasons. Only few selected suppliers were informed regarding the tenders/indents and the purchase orders were issued to those firms only.

The information was communicated to only selected firms for offering the quotations for supply of drugs / medicines through phone. Advertisement was not given in News paper / e-mails violating G.O.Ms.No.489 of Finance (TFR.10) Department, dt.08.12.2008. No actions were taken to generate competition among the suppliers for sinking the prices. In most of the cases indents were displayed on the notice board at Directorate and only the persons who have visited the directorate submitted quotations.

In most of the cases three quotations were accepted under local purchase. On verification it is noticed that all the three quotations were belong to the persons from a single family. For ex. Laxmi Pharma, Sai Balaji and Abhiramee belong to single person, Vasuda Marketing, Vishal Enterprises & Teja Pharma etc. The officials were least bothered to identify the backdrop of the firms and no publicity was created for receiving the quotations, information was given only to the selected firms thus the very purpose of conducting tender is defeated. The tender scrutiny committee has utterly failed in conducting the tender process, identifying the sister concern firms, creating hype and competition among the firms and bring down the price. It can be understood that the officials have deliberately informed very few selected firms for purchasing medicines without giving wide publicity through print and electronic media for favoring particular firms. Tender enquiries were not published on the department's website. Only particular firms were informed over phone to submit quotations.

The table depicting the % worth supplies made by certain firms which belongs to single person / persons from single family is mentioned below:

A) Teja Pharma: Prop. Pandiri Rajeswar Reddy

Sl. No.	Firm / Agency	Value of drugs supplied for the year 2016-17	% over total supplies made (2016-17)	Value of drugs supplied for the year 2017-18	% over total supplies made (2017-18)
1	RR Traders, old Alwal, Malkajigiri Mandal	30896908	24.84%	15299760	11.61%

2	Vishal Enterprises, Machabollur, Secunderabad	53814700		14322312	
3	M/s Vaishnav Enterprises, Alwal	53814700		14322312	
4	M/s Teja Pharma Tadbund X Road. Secunderabad	74062690		3142727	
5	M/s Sarvesh Enterprises, Tadbund X road, Secunderabad	19928475		19232192	
6	Vasuda Marketing, Abids, Hyderabad	29089325		18210212	
	Total value of supplies made by Teja Pharma group	261606798		84529515	
	Total supplies by the firms	1053158544		728242096	

B) M/s LAXMI PHARMA, Prop. Ch Srinivas Rao

Sl. No.	Firm / Agency	Value of drugs supplied for the years 2016-17	% over total supplies made (2016-17)	Value of drugs supplied for the year 2017-18	% over total supplies made (2017-18)
1	M/s Laxmi Pharma Kachiguda, Hyderabad	32040911	4.32%	45653161	6.27%
2	Abhiramee Medical, Thakur Residency, Barkatpura	13454700		0	
3	M/s Sri Balaji Enterprises VLR Complex, Kachiguda	Submitted only quotations for tender purpose			

Proceedings of process of opening the tenders were not recorded by the Pharmacist / maintained at the stores or at Director, Insurance Medical Services. Comparative statements were prepared by the Pharmacist permitted by the Director, Insurance Medical Services routed through AD Stores instead of approval by LP Committee.

The purchase procedure adopted by Director, Insurance Medical Services itself shows that no proper planning was done in advance to overcome shortage of the medicines and the indents were received from the JDs and IMOs at the peak time, and forced them to go for LP. The drug procurement committee / officials have utterly failed to calculate the indents in time, to communicate the list of drugs to be purchased. No periodical review was done by the Drug procurement committee for further addition and deletion of non-rate contract items which should be done once in a quarter since 2016.

The drugs price control committee should be formed which have to study the market prices of all the drugs (both Essential & Emergency) which are not included in RC. No such actions were taken.

The Non-RC items enlisted by the DPC was not processed through an Open Tender System by way of e-procurement with the technical assistance of APTS / TSTS which is mandatory as per Rule 160 of GFR 2017 which states that e-procurement is mandatory for Ministries / Departments to receive all the bids through e-procurement portals in respect of all procurements and also violated G.O.Ms.No.51, dt.09.04.2012 of Labour, Employment Training & Factories (IMS) Department,. The Tender scrutiny committee / Director is to be made responsible for not procuring the Drugs by the way of e-procurement.

Transparency, competition, fairness and elimination of arbitrariness were not followed in the procurement process by the Director, Insurance Medical Services.

The Non-RC indents & Emergency indents were given only to the few selected firms who approached the Directorate. No publicity was given for the drugs / medicines purchased on emergency. Market price was not taken into consideration while procuring the drugs on emergency.

No action was proposed on the L1 firm for non supply of items in stipulated time. In some cases L2 & L3 were not considered by the officials and directly gone to Local Purchase. The officials had soft corner on the firms which did not supply medicines in time and no action was proposed to deduct the differential price incurred by the department on the medicines which were not supplied by them.

The Officials have deliberately splitted the indents within the limit to Rs.5.0 lakhs to avoid LIMITED TENDER and gone for Local purchase thus violated the G.O.Ms No.489 of Finance (TFR.I) Department, dated.08.12.2008.

In most of the note files it was mentioned as due to shortage of drugs they are insisted to go for Local Purchase. No specific reason was mentioned for the shortage of drugs. It can be understood that the Pharmacist, Grade-II have least bothered to overcome the shortage and no further steps were taken to overcome the shortage as seen from the note files for the past two years (2016-17 & 2017-18)

It is also noticed that certain drugs are procured from the NON-RC firms at higher rate when compared to the RC. For ex:

- A) On random verification of RC. Indent No.05/CDS/ESSN/2016, dt.02.05.2016 and RC. Indent No.04/CDS/ESSN/2016, dt.22.04.2016, it was noticed that the indent for supply of Human Insulin (Soluble) (10ml vial) 1,00,000 qty @ **Rs.61.68/-**, Human Insulin (NPH) (10ml vial) – 20000 qty @ **Rs.66.72/-** was given to the M/s M.J. Biopharm Private Limited on 08.05.2016 and also reminder on 11.07.2016 vide Rc.No.SPL/SDDU/SNR/2016.
- 1) The Director has given indent to M/s Bhaskar Agency, D.No.8-3-292/01, Geetha Graudur Apartment, Yousufguda to supply 500 qty of human insulin (Soluble) (Inj. H-Actrapid) vide PO No.RFDD/ESSN/LP/00578/2016-17, dt.25.06.2016 @ **Rs.139.59/-** which is **Rs.77.91/- excess** when compared to the RC. (Rs.38955/- excess)
 - 2) The Director has given indent to M/s Garuda Medical & Surgical Enterprises, D.No.1-8-504, Ground Floor, Shop No.3, Venkateswara Temple lane, Chikkadpally to supply 500 qty of Human insulin (NPH) (INSUGEN-N) vide PO No. RFDD/ESSN/LP/00360/2016-17, dt.29.04.2016 @ Rs.132.82 which is Rs.66.10 excess without approaching the L2 & L3 though the same medicine with similar trade name is available with (L3) Biocon Limited @ Rs.74.00/10 ml vial.

- B) The Director has given indent to M/s Vasuda Marketing to supply 1500 qty of Syp. Lactulose 200ml vide PO No.RFDD/ESSN/LP/ 00590/2016-17, dt.25.06.2016 @ Rs.260 which is in excess of Rs.185.80/- per 200 ml bottle when compared to Rate contract Price (Rs.278700/- excess exclusive of VAT).
- C) On verification of File Rc.No.1033/MS/JDIS/2017, Dt.02.06.2017, it was noticed that the following drugs were procured at higher price when compared to Rate Contract price. Syp. Liver Disorder Tonic was purchased @ Rs.137/- though it is available @ Rs.69/-, Tab. Voglibose 0.2 mg was purchased at Rs.5.60 each though the same is available @ Rs.5.25/- and Tab. Tramadol P was procured @ Rs.9.60 each though the same is available @ Rs.4.12 in Rate contract. On verification it is also found that the indents were directly given to M/s Anvitha Enterprises without approaching the L2 & L3 Rate contract firms.

The details of drugs procured at a higher price compared to the Rate Contract price are tabulated below:-

Sl. No.	Name of the Medicine	Rate contract price	Price procured from Non Rc firms	Qty procured	Firm	Excess amount paid	% of Excess rate paid over RC Price
1	Human Insulin (Soluble) H-Actaprid	61.68	139.59	500	M/s Bhaskara Agency, Hyderabad	38955	226%
2	Human Insulin (NPH) INUGEN-N	66.72	132.82	500	M/s Garuda Medical & Surgical Enterprises	33050	199%
3	Syp. Lactulose (200 ml)	74.20	260	1500	Vasuda Marketing	278700	350%
4	Syp. Liver disorder tonic	69	137	540	M/s Anvitha Enterprises, Santosh Nagar, Hyderabad	36720	198%
4a	Syp. Liver disorder tonic	69	105	3500		126000	152%
5	Tab. Voglibose 0.2mg	5.25	5.60	200000		70000	106%
6	Tab. Tramadol P	4.12	9.60	35000		191800	133%
7	Tab. Telmisartan 40 mg	6.47	6.80	62500	Crystal Enterprises, Saroornagar.	20625	105%
7a	Tab. Telmisartan 40 mg	6.47	6.84	70000	M/s Anvitha Enterprises, Maruthinagar, Champapet, Hyderabad	25900	105%
8	Ramipril 5mg	4.14	9.87	50000	M/s Om Pharma Distributors, Chinthal	286500	238%

9	Cap. Tramadol	4.12	5.44	75000	R R Traders, Old Alwal.	99000	132%
10	Cap. Tramadol	4.12	5.00	15000	M/s Anvitha Enterprises, Maruthinagar, Champapet, Hyderabad	13200	121%
	Total Amount paid in excess when compared to Rate Contract					1220450	

Syp. Liver Disorder Tonic was purchases @ Rs.105/- from M/s Anvitha Enterprises on 13.02.2017 (Invoice No.005 7 PO No.RFDD/LP3/00872/2016-17, Dt.06.12.2016 and the same was purchased higher rate i.e. Rs.137/- from the same firm on 20.11.2017 (Invoice No.013 & PO No.RFDD/JD/LP/00693/2017, dt.30.10.2017).

Cap. Tramadol was purchased @ Rs.5.44 from R.R/ Traders, Old Alwal, vide Invoice No.RR00003, Dt.31.05.2016 and the same was purchased @ Rs.5.00 from M/s Anvitha Enterprises vide invoice No.010, Dt.14.02.2017.

Tab. Telmisartan 40 mg was purchased @ Rs.5.12 each from M/s Anvitha Enterprises, vide invoice No.026, Dt.23.01.2017, invoice No.015, Dt.20.11.2017 and invoice No.025, Dt.23.01.2018 and the same was purchased at high rate i.e. Rs.6.84 from the same firm vide invoice No.006, Dt.04.02.2017 & Rs.6.80 from Crystal Enterprises vide invoice No.CR/406, Dt.11.06.2016.

All the above drugs were purchased on emergency basis from the Non RC firm without approaching the L2 & L3 RC firms. Approx. **Rs.12,20,450/-** (Excluding VAT) has been paid in excess to the local firms without obtaining Local Purchase Committee certificate when compared to the Rate Contract price.

It is clearly understood that the officials have purchased drugs at a price of their will & wish through Local purchase without considering the market price and taking into consideration of Rate Contract price thus causing loss to Government Ex-chequer.

No action was proposed on the L1 firm for non supply of items in stipulated time. In the above cases L2 & L3 were not considered by the officials and directly gone to Local Purchase. The Pharmacist, Grade-II has exhibited soft corner on the firms which did not supply medicines in time and no action was proposed to deduct the differential price incurred by the department on the medicines which was not supplied by them.

It can be clearly understood that the Pharmacist, Grade-II have deliberately favored the firms for undue advantage by paying excess price i.e. 105% to 350% more than the Rate Contract under the guise of emergency medicines.

Certain monopoly drugs were purchased from a single firm without recording the valid reasons in the note file.

Details of expenditure incurred towards procurement of drugs are tabulated below:-

Year	Rate Contract Firms	Non Rate Contract Firms
2016 – 2017	113,91,64,989	101,66,75,698
2017- 2018	142,87,15,957	66,60,09,126
Total Amount	256,78,80,946	168,26,84,824

The table depicting the % worth supplies made by certain firms is shown below:

Sl. No	Firm / agency	Value of drugs supplied for the year 2016-17	% over total supplies made (2016-17)	Value of drugs supplied for the year 2017-18	% over total supplies made (2017-18)
1	RR Traders, old Alwal, Malkajigiri Mandal	3,08,96,908	3%	1,52,99,760	2%
2	M/s Mahidara Medical & Surgicals, Indiranagar, Qutbullapur	27333734	2.6%	14209496	1.95%
3	M/s Vaishnave Enterprises, Alwal	53814700	5%	14322312	1.96%
4	M/s Mythri Pharma, Chaithanyapuri RR Dist	13035651	1.24%	0	0
5	M/s Gayathri Pharma, New Bowenpally, Secunderabad	7965825	0.75%	14702800	2%
6	M/s Seikotrick Pharma, Banjara Hills	0	0	6283200	0.86%
7	Vasuda marketing, Abids, Hyderabad	29089325	2.76%	18210212	2.50%

Hence, the Article of Charges are substantiated.

Dr. SHASHANK GOEL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE-III

LIST OF WITNESSES :-

--- N I L ---

Dr. SHASHANK GOEL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

ANNEXURE –IV

LIST OF DOCUMENTS:

From the Director General, Vigilance and Enforcement and Ex-officio Principal Secretary to Government, General Administration (V&E) Department, Vigilance Report No.5 (C.No.1190/V&E/D1/2018, Dt.01.02.2019 along with Annexures I to V.

Dr. SHASHANK GOEL
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT